ACLS 2015

Porntipa Tantibundit, M.D. Department of Emergency Medicine Khon Kaen hospital



mittee



IHCA



Primary providers



Cath lab

ICU

Strategies to improve CPR outcome

High bystander CPR and AED use rate

High quality ACLS

Post cardiac arrest care

Debriefing and Registration

Bystander CPR



The role of bystanders, first responders, and emergency medical service providers in timely defibrillation and related outcomes after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest



Hansen M. Resuscitation 2015;96:303-309

Association of National Initiatives to Improve Cardiac Arrest Management With Rates of Bystander Intervention and Patient Survival After Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest



Wissenberg M. JAMA. 2013;310(13):1377-1384



Wissenberg M. JAMA. 2013;310(13):1377-1384 Dispatchers should be educated to identify unresponsiveness with abnormal breathing

The role of dispatcher-guided CPR provide

Chest compression only CPR instructions



Dispatcher instruction of chest compression-only CPR increases actual provision of bystander CPR

| Dispatcher instruction | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | CCCPR (n=5,743) | Conventional CPR (n=13,926) | Þ | | | | | | | |
| CCCPR and conventional CPR | 4,022(70) | 8,655(62.1) | <0.001 | | | | | | | |
| Conventional CPR | 3,880(67.6) | 3,899(28.0) | <0.001 | | | | | | | |
| Call receipt to CPR by bystanders, mead(SD) | 1.4(1.6) | 1.3(1.7) | <0.001 | | | | | | | |

Mobile-Phone Dispatch of Laypersons for CPR in OHCA



Mobile-Phone Dispatch of Laypersons for CPR in OHCA

| Table 2. Primary and Secondary Outcomes.* | | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Outcome | Intervention | Control | Difference (95% CI) | P Value |
| | no. of patient | ts/total no. (%) | percentage points | |
| Primary outcome: bystander-initiated CPR | 188/305 (61.6) | 172/360 (47.8) | 13.9 (6.2 to 21.2) | <0.001 |
| Secondary outcome | | | | |
| 30-day survival | 32/286 (11.2) | 28/326 (8.6) | 2.6 (-2.1 to 7.8) | 0.28 |
| Return of spontaneous circulation | 90/306 (29.4) | 105/361 (29.1) | 0.3 (-6.5 to 7.3) | 0.93 |
| Shockable rhythm: ventricular fibrillation or ventricular tachycardia | 58/301(19.3) | 60/347 (17.3) | 2.0 (-4.0 to 8.0) | 0.52 |
| Bystander-initiated CPR including CPR performed with telephone instructions | 196/305 (64.3) | 197/360 (54.7) | 9.5 (2.0 to 16.9) | 0.01 |
| performed with telephone instructions | , , , , | | , , , | |

* CI denotes confidence interval.

Social media technologies that summon rescuers who are willing and able to perform CPR





TM-responders are alerted by text message

TM-alert system identifies the exact location of the cardiac arrest patient

Implementation of public access defibrillation

PAD programs provide bystanders with automatic electronic defibrillators (AEDs)









Outcomes of Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest by Public Location in the Public-Access Defibrillation Era



Murakami Y. JAHA 2014;1-10

Outcomes of Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest by Public Location in the Public-Access Defibrillation Era

| | Railway Stations (n=118) | Sports Facilities (n=93) | Public Buildings (n=86) | Schools (n=31) | Airports (n=4) | Other Public Spaces (n=562) | P Value* |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|----------|
| Prehospital ROSC, n (%) | 35 (29.7) | 46 (49.5) | 20 (23.3) | 11 (35.5) | 1 (25.0) | 131 (23.3) | <0.001 |
| Total ROSC, n (%) | 69 (58.5) | 64 (68.8) | 44 (51.2) | 19 (61.3) | 4 (100.0) | 296 (52.7) | 0.018 |
| Hospital admission, n (%) | 63 (53.4) | 65 (69.9) | 40 (46.5) | 19 (61.3) | 4 (100.0) | 257 (45.7) | <0.001 |
| 1-month survival, n (%) | 42 (35.6) | 50 (53.8) | 27 (31.4) | 15 (48.4) | 2 (50.0) | 146 (26.1) | <0.001 |
| Neurologically favorable outcome, n (%) | 33 (28.0) | 48 (51.6) | 20 (23.3) | 13 (41.9) | 2 (50.0) | 76 (13.6) | <0.001 |

Association of Bystander Interventions With Neurologically Intact Survival Among Patients With Bystander-Witnessed Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest in Japan

| | Railway Stations (n=118) | Sports Facilities (n=93) | Public Buildings (n=86) | Schools (n=31) | Airports (n=4) | Other Public Spaces (n=562) | P Value* |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|----------|
| Prehospital ROSC, n (%) | 35 (29.7) | 46 (49.5) | 20 (23.3) | 11 (35.5) | 1 (25.0) | 131 (23.3) | <0.001 |
| Total ROSC, n (%) | 69 (58.5) | 64 (68.8) | 44 (51.2) | 19 (61.3) | 4 (100.0) | 296 (52.7) | 0.018 |
| Hospital admission, n (%) | 63 (53.4) | 65 (69.9) | 40 (46.5) | 19 (61.3) | 4 (100.0) | 257 (45.7) | <0.001 |
| 1-month survival, n (%) | 42 (35.6) | 50 (53.8) | 27 (31.4) | 15 (48.4) | 2 (50.0) | 146 (26.1) | <0.001 |
| Neurologically favorable outcome, n (%) | 33 (28.0) | 48 (51.6) | 20 (23.3) | 13 (41.9) | 2 (50.0) | 76 (13.6) | <0.001 |

High quality ACLS

 After placement of an advanced airway, it may be reasonable for the provider to deliver 1 breath every 6 seconds (10 breaths/ min)



Providing the maximal inspired oxygen concentration during CPR







Impedance Threshold Device (ITD) Limits air entry into the lungs during the decompression phase of CPR

Improving venous return to the heart and cardiac output



Active Compression Decompression CPR
Suction cup applied over the mid sternum of the chest
The application of external negative suction during decompression



Recommendation Combination may be a reasonable alternative with available equipment and properly trained providers







Mechanical chest compression devices

- High-quality manual compressions may be challenging or dangerous for the provider
- Prolonged CPR

- During hypothermic cardiac arrest
- CPR in a moving ambulance
- CPR in the angiography suite
- CPR during preparation for ECPR

- The most common errors of resuscitation are inadequate compression rate and depth
- Excessive rate may be associated with lower rate of return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC)



100-120 /min Avoiding excessive chest compression Depths (greater than 2.4 inches [6 cm])



Cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality and patient survival outcome in cardiac arrest: A systematic review and metaanalysis

| a | R | DSC | | No | ROSC | | | Mean Difference | | Mean D | ifference | |
|--|--|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|--------|----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|----|
| Study or Subgroup | Mean [mm] | SD [mm] | Total I | Mean [mm] | SD [mm] | Total | Weight | IV, Random, 95% CI [mm] | | IV, Random | 95% CI [mm] | |
| Abella (2005) | 42 | 13 | 27 | 41 | 12 | 33 | 2.2% | 1.00 [-5.39, 7.39] | | | | |
| Bohn (2011) | 48.9 | 8.2 | 138 | 47 | 8.7 | 162 | 24.4% | 1.90 [-0.01, 3.81] | | | | |
| Camacho Leis (2013) | 41 | 5 | 50 | 41 | 5 | 58 | 25.1% | 0.00 [-1.89, 1.89] | | _ | - | |
| Kamarainen (2012) | 55 | 12.7 | 7 | 50 | 8.5 | 2 | 0.4% | 5.00 [-10.08, 20.08] | _ | | | |
| Stiell (2012) | 38.6 | 9.7 | 264 | 37.6 | 10 | 765 | 47.9% | 1.00 [-0.37, 2.37] | | | - | |
| Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z | 00; Chi ² = 2.19 = 2.04 (P = 0.0 | 9, df = 4 (P 04) | 486 = 0.70); | l² = 0% | | 1020 | 100.0% | 0.99 [0.04, 1.93] | -20 -10 Shallower co | mpressions | 10 Deeper compressions | 20 |
| b | s | urvived | | Did | not survive | , | | Mean Difference | | Mean D | lifference | |
| Study or Subgroup | Mean [mm |] SD [mm |] Total | Mean (mm |] SD [mm |] Tota | I Weight | IV, Random, 95% CI [mm |] | IV, Random | , 95% CI [mm] | |
| Kramer-Johansen (2006) |) 4 | 0 1 | 2 10 |) 3 | 5 8 | 3 274 | 5.8% | 5.00 [-2.50, 12.50] | | _ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Stiell (2014) | 43. | 5 10. | 7 666 | i 41. | 8 11.8 | 8 8470 | 68.9% | 1.70 [0.85, 2.55] | | | | |
| Vadeboncoeur (2014) | 53. | 6 12. | 3 63 | 48. | 8 14.1 | 1 529 | 23.5% | 4.80 [1.53, 8.07] | | | | |
| Wik (2005) | 3 | 8 16.8 | 6 6 | ; 3 | 8 12.71 | 1 69 | 1.8% | 0.00 [-13.82, 13.82] | | | | |

9342 100.0%

2.59 [0.71, 4.47]

-20

-10

Total (95% CI) 745 Heterogeneity: Tau² = 1.15; Chi² = 3.97, df = 3 (P = 0.27); I² = 24% Test for overall effect: Z = 2.70 (P = 0.007)

Talikowska M. Resuscitation 2015;96:66-77

Shallower compressions Deeper compressions

10

20

Cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality and patient survival outcome in cardiac arrest: A systematic review and metaanalysis

| а | R | OSC | | No | ROSC | | | Mean Difference | Mean Difference |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------|----------------------|------------|-------|--------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Study or Subgroup | Mean [cpm] | SD [cpm] | Total | Mean [cpm] | SD [cpm] | Total | Weight | IV, Random, 95% CI [cpm] | IV, Random, 95% CI [cpm] |
| Abella (2005) | 98 | 18 | 27 | 107 | 18 | 33 | 1.4% | -9.00 [-18.15, 0.15] | |
| Bohn (2011) | 103.25 | 5.76 | 138 | 103.45 | 7.05 | 162 | 24.6% | -0.20 [-1.65, 1.25] | + |
| Camacho Leis (2013) | 105 | 8 | 50 | 106 | 8 | 58 | 10.1% | -1.00 [-4.03, 2.03] | |
| Idris (2012) | 112.4 | 17.6 | 1082 | 111.5 | 19.4 | 2016 | 26.1% | 0.90 [-0.45, 2.25] | + - - |
| Idris (2015) | 109.9 | 18 | 3549 | 110.9 | 19.2 | 6822 | 36.0% | -1.00 [-1.75, -0.25] | = |
| Kamarainen (2012) | 99.1 | 10.8 | 7 | 97.5 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.8% | 1.60 [-6.46, 9.66] | |
| Total (95% CI) | | | 4853 | | | 9093 | 100.0% | -0.37 [-1.47, 0.73] | + |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = (| 0.73; Chi ² = 9.6 | 9, df = 5 (P | = 0.08) | l ² = 48% | | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: 2 | Z = 0.66 (P = 0. | 51) | | | | | | | Lower rate Higher rate |
| b | Su | rvived | | Did n | ot survive | | | Mean Difference | Mean Difference |

| 0 | | Survived Did not survive | | | | | Mean Difference Mean Difference | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----|-------------|---------------------|------------------|----|
| Study or Subgroup | Mean [cpm] | SD [cpm] | Total | Mean [cpm] | SD [cpm] | Total | Weight | IV, Random, 95% CI [cpm] | | IV, Rar | dom, 95 | % CI [cpm] | |
| Idris (2012) | 111.1 | 16.8 | 265 | 111.9 | 19 | 2833 | 23.4% | -0.80 [-2.94, 1.34] | | | | | |
| Idris (2015) | 109.3 | 17.9 | 928 | 110.7 | 18.9 | 9443 | 72.7% | -1.40 [-2.61, -0.19] | | | | | |
| Vadeboncoeur (2014) | 113.5 | 20 | 63 | 112.7 | 20.5 | 529 | 3.9% | 0.80 [-4.44, 6.04] | | | -+- | | |
| Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z | .00; Chi² = 0.80 = 2.22 (P = 0.0 |), df = 2 (P =)3) | 1256 = 0.67); | I ² = 0% | | 12805 | 100.0% | -1.17 [-2.21, -0.14] | -20 | -10 Lowe | ♦ 0 r rate Hi | 10 igher rate | 20 |

Talikowska M. Resuscitation 2015;96:66-77

Deeper chest compression – More complications for cardiac arrest patients?



Hellevuo H. Resuscitation 2013;84:760-765



Nonshockable rhythm feasible

administer epinephrine as soon as

Shockable rhythm



insufficient evidence about the

optimal timing of epinephrine administration



- The removal of vasopressin has been noted in the Adult Cardiac Arrest Algorithm
- Amiodarone may be considered for VF/pVT that is unresponsive to CPR, defibrillation, and a vasopressor therapy
- The routine use of magnesium for VF/pVT is not recommended in adult patients

Amiodarone, Lidocaine, or placebo in OHCAs

| Table 3. Outcomes According to Trial Grou | up in the Per-Proto | col Population.* | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Outcome | Amiodarone (N=974) | Lidocaine (N=993) | Placebo (N = 1059) | Amiodarone vs. | Placebo | Lidocaine vs. F | lacebo | Amiodarone vs. | Lidocaine |
| | | | | Difference (95% CI) | P Value | Difference (95% CI) | P Value | Difference (95% CI) | P Value |
| | | | | percentage points | | percentage points | | percentage points | |
| Primary outcome: survival to discharge — no./total no. (%)† | 237/970 (24.4) | 233/985 (23.7) | 222/1056 (21.0) | 3.2 (-0.4 to 7.0) | 0.08 | 2.6 (-1.0 to 6.3) | 0.16 | 0.7 (-3.2 to 4.7) | 0.70 |
| Secondary outcome: modified Rankin score ≤3 — no./total no. (%)‡ | 182/967 (18.8) | 172/984 (17.5) | 175/1055 (16.6) | 2.2 (-1.1 to 5.6) | 0.19 | 0.9 (-2.4 to 4.2) | 0.59 | 1.3 (-2.1 to 4.8) | 0.44 |
| Mechanistic (exploratory) outcomes | | | | | | | | | |
| Return of spontaneous circulation at ED arrival — no./total no. (%) | 350/974 (35.9) | 396/992 (39.9) | 366/1059 (34.6) | 1.4 (-2.8 to 5.5) | 0.52 | 5.4 (1.2 to 9.5) | 0.01 | -4.0 (-8.3 to 0.3) | 0.07 |
| Admitted to hospital — no. (%) | 445 (45.7) | 467 (47.0) | 420 (39.7) | 6.0 (1.7 to 10.3) | 0.01 | 7.4 (3.1 to 11.6) | <0.001 | -1.3 (-5.7 to 3.1) | 0.55 |
| Modified Rankin score in all patients: | 5.0±1.9 | 5.1±1.8 | 5.2±1.8 | -0.14 (-0.30 to 0.02) | 0.09 | -0.06 (-0.22 to 0.10) | 0.45 | -0.08 (-0.24 to 0.08) | 0.34 |
| Modified Rankin score in survivors‡ | 2.0±2.7 | 2.2±2.7 | 2.0±2.6 | | | | | | |
| Distribution of modified Rankin scores — no./total no. (%)‡ | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 60/966 (6.2) | 49/981 (5.0) | 55/1053 (5.2) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 47/966 (4.9) | 37/981 (3.8) | 39/1053 (3.7) | | | | | | |
| 2 | 41/966 (4.2) | 46/981 (4.7) | 40/1053 (3.8) | | | | | | |
| 3 | 34/966 (3.5) | 37/981 (3.8) | 41/1053 (3.9) | | | | | | |
| 4 | 31/966 (3.2) | 36/981 (3.7) | 27/1053 (2.6) | | | | | | |
| 5 | 21/966 (2.2) | 24/981 (2.4) | 18/1053 (1.7) | | | | | | |
| 6 | 732/966 (75.8) | 752/981 (76.7) | 833/1053 (79.1) | | | | | | |

* CI denotes confidence interval, and ED emergency department.

† The difference and 95% CI were adjusted for sequential monitoring.

\$ Scores on the modified Rankin scale range from 0 (no symptoms) to 6 (death). A score of 3 or less indicates the ability to conduct daily activities independently or with minimal assistance.

<u>Prehospital Assessment of the Role of Adrenaline : Measuring</u> the <u>Effectiveness of Drug administration In Cardiac Arrest</u>

- Adrenaline versus Placebo in OHCAs
- Primary endpiont 30-day survival
- I 646 were recruited
- Estimated finish 2017





Potentially reversible conditions are addressed

Requires a highly trained team, specialized equipment, and multidisciplinary support



Post cardiac arrest care



Prognostication of Outcome

Acute Cardiovascular Interventions



Hemodynamic Goals



Respiratory Care

Targeted Temperature Management

Critical Care Interventions

Acute Cardiovascular Interventions

OHCA patients with suspected cardiac etiology of arrest and ST elevation on ECG

Electrically or hemodynamically unstable) adult patients who are comatose after OHCA of suspected cardiac origin but without ST elevation on ECG

Post–cardiac arrest patients for whom coronary angiography is indicated regardless of whether the patient is comatose or awake

Hemodynamic Goals

Avoiding and immediately correcting hypotension (systolic blood pressure less than 90 mm Hg, MAP less than 65 mm Hg) during postresuscitation care

Targeted Temperature Management



Comatose patients

Maintaining a constant temperature between 32oC and 36oC during TTM

Respiratory Care

Use the highest available oxygen concentration until the arterial oxyhemoglobin saturation or the partial pressure of arterial oxygen can be measured

Normocarbia (end-tidal CO₂ 30–40 mmHg or PaCO₂ 35– 45 mmHg) may be a reasonable goal

Decrease the FIO₂ when oxyhemoglobin saturation is 100%, provided the oxyhemoglobin saturation can be maintained at 94% or greater

Neurologic Care

The same anticonvulsant regimens for the treatment of status epilepticus caused by other etiologies may be considered after cardiac arrest

Outcomes for out-of-hospital cardiac arrests across 7 countries in Asia: The Pan Asian Resuscitation Outcomes Study (PAROS)

| Characteristics | Japan (n = 51,377) | Korea (n = 7990) | Malaysia (n = 389) | Singapore (n=3023) | Thailand (n=573) | Taiwan (n = 3023) | UAE(n=405) |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Age | | | | | | | |
| Mean (SD) | 71.7 (18.4) | 63.5 (19.0) | 57.0(17.0) | 63.5 (18.2) | 55.7 (22.1) | 70.5 (18.6) | 49.7 (18.3) |
| Median (IQR) | 76.0 (63.0, 85.0) | 66.5 (52.0, 78.0) | 59.0(47.0,70.0) | 65.0 (53.0,77.0) | 57.0 (40.0, 74.0) | 75.0 (59.0, 85.0) | 50.0 (38.0, 63.0) |
| Gender (%) | | | | | | | |
| Male | 29,760 (57.9) | 5243 (65.6) | 276 (71.0) | 1988(65.7) | 367(64.0) | 1936(64.1) | 335(82.7) |
| Past medical history (%) | | | | | | | |
| Heart disease | 2958(28.1)* | 837 (21.5) | 88 (22.6) | 1090(36.0) | 100(17,5) | 751(265) | 53 (13.1) |
| Location type (n.%) | | | | | | | |
| Home residence | 8409(63.0) | 5057 (64.9) | 278 (71.5) | 2127(70.4) | 354(61.8) | 2201(73.1) | 220(543) |
| Healthcare facility | 50(0.4) | 137(1.8) | 11(2.8) | 110(36) | 11(1.9) | Not available | 7(1.7) |
| Public/commercial building | 954 (7.2) | 449 (5.8) | 44 (11.3) | 235(78) | 30(5.2) | 70 (2.3) | 52 (12.8) |
| Nursing home | 1555(11.7) | 285(37) | 6(15) | 111(37) | 7(1.7) | 240(8.0) | Not available |
| Street highway | 809 (6 1) | 465 (6.0) | 26(67) | 155(51) | 86(15.0) | 238(70) | 71 (175) |
| Industrial place | Not available | 95(12) | 1(03) | 63(21) | 5(0.0) | 78 (2.6) | 18 (4 4) |
| Transport center | Not available | 101 (1 3) | 3(08) | 37(12) | 2(0.2) | 4(01) | Not available |
| Disco of recreation | Not available | 197 (2.4) | 2(05) | 57(10) | 6(1.0) | 54(1.9) | 24 (5.0) |
| Place of recreation | Not available | 187 (2.4) | 2(05) | 57(19) | 6(1.0) | 54(1.8) | 24 (5.9) |
| In EMS*/private ambulance | 852 (6.4) | 382 (4.9) | 9(23) | 69(23) | 33(5.8) | Not available | Not available |
| Other | 700(52) | 629(8.1) | 9(23) | 58(1.9) | 39(6.8) | 125(4.2) | 13 (3.2) |
| Arrest witnessed by (n, %) | | | | | | | |
| Not witnessed | 30,532 (59.4) | 3158 (46.7) | 176 (45.2) | 1302(43.1) | 151(26.4) | 1939 (67.9) | 205 (50.6) |
| Bystander | 17,221 (33.5) | 3144 (46.5) | 183 (47.0) | 1482(49.0) | 373(65.1) | 630(22.1) | 186(45.9) |
| EMS | 3624(7.1) | 459 (6.8) | 30 (7.7) | 239(7.9) | 49(8.6) | 288(10.1) | 14 (3.5) |
| First arrest rhythm (n, %) | | | | | | | |
| VT ^d /VF ^e /unknown shockable | 3831 (7.5) | 1233 (15.4) | 9(41) | 554(18.7) | 19(7.1) | 296 (9.8) | 80(19.8) |
| Unknown unshockable | 13,889 (27.0) | 251 (3.1) | 82 (37.1) | 24(0.8) | 2(0.7) | 23 (0.8) | 6(1.5) |
| Asystole | 26,005 (50.6) | 4487 (56.2) | 54 (24.4) | 1585(53.3) | 105(39.2) | 1716 (56.8) | 282(69.6) |
| Pulseless electrical activity | 7601 (14.8) | 1132 (14.2) | 4(1.8) | 805(27.1) | 24(9.0) | 561(18.6) | 37 (9.1) |
| Unknown | 51(0.1) | 887(11.1) | 72 (32.6) | 5(0.2) | 118(44.0) | 427(14.1) | 0(0) |
| Prehospital intervention (n, %) | | | | | | | |
| Bystander CPR ^{6,8} | 19,176 (40.2) | 2854 (40.9) | 81(22.6) | 677(24.3) | 83(15.8) | 845(31.4) | 41 (10.5) |
| Prehospital defibrillation | 5260(10.2) | 1833 (22.9) | 9(2.6) | 697(23.4) | 32(9.2) | 357(11.8) | 145(35.8) |
| Bystander defibrillation ⁶⁻¹ | 313 (0.6) | 22(0.3) | Not available | 29(1.1) | 1(0.3) | Not available | 3 (0.8) |
| Prehospital adrenaline | 4346(8.5) | Not available | 44 (12.7) | 1414(47.4) | 135(23.6) | 552(183) | 4(1.0) |
| Prehospital advanced airway (n. %) | 10 10 (010) | | | | | 552(102) | |
| Oral/nasal endotracheal tube | 4129(8.0) | 153(1.9) | 22 (6.4) | 18(06) | 132(38.0) | 402(13.2) | 5(1.2) |
| Combitube | 0 | 2(0.02) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lanungeal mask ainway | 4004(7.8) | 470 (5.9) | 36(10.4) | 2368(29.3) | 2(0.6) | 535(177) | 20 (4 9) |
| King airway | 6478(12.6) | 183 (2.3) | 0 | 2300(75:3) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 4450 (97) | 281 (4.8) | 20 (5.8) | 1(001) | 2(0.6) | 0 | 5 (1 2) |
| Post memoritation care (n. %) | 4430(87) | 301(4.0) | 20 (5.8) | 1(001) | 2(0.6) | 0 | 5(1.2) |
| Post-resuscitation care (n, x) | Not sugilable | 617 (7.7) | 1(03) | 20(1.0) | 2(0.2) | Not musilable | 1 (0.2) |
| Hypotherinia therapy | Not available | 617(7.7) | 1(0.3) | 29(1.0) | 2(0.3) | NOT available | 1 (0.2) |
| Ecology of cardiac arrest (n, %)* | 2005/14/02 | 710/033 | 20.07.03 | 00/2 23 | 77(72.2) | 220/2 01 | 40.00.00 |
| Trauma de la contractione de la | 740/5 (14.6) | 718 (9.3) | 20 (5.9) | 99(3.3) | 77(22.2) | 228(7.8) | 13 (3.2) |
| Presumed cardiac etiology | 29,928 (58.3) | 5605 (72.7) | 285 (84.1) | 2251(74.5) | 189(54.5) | 2384(81.1) | 362(89.4) |
| Respiratory | 2293 (4.5) | 65(0.8) | 15 (4.4) | 240(7.9) | 47(13.5) | 192(6.5) | 4(1.0) |
| Drowning | 308 (0.6) | 91(1.2) | 3 (0.9) | 22(0.7) | 5(1.4) | 14 (0.5) | 11 (2.7) |
| Other | 11,352 (22.1) | 1230 (16.0) | 16 (4.7) | 411(13.6) | 29(8.4) | 120(4.1) | 15 (3.7) |
| Outcomes (n, %) | | | | | | | |
| Survived to admission | 3644/13,339 (27.3)* | 1593 (20.4) | 31 (8.0) | 514(17.0) | 159(27.7) | 179(5.9) | 32 (7.9) |
| Survived to discharge | 2677 (5.2) | 681 (8.5) | 2 (0.5) | 76(25) | 24(4.2) | 139(4.6) | 12 (3.0) |
| Post arrest CPC 1/2 | 1436(2.8) | 236(3.0) | Not available | 50(1.7) | 9(1.6) | 87 (2.9) | 11(2.7) |

Outcomes for out-of-hospital cardiac arrests across 7 countries in Asia: The Pan Asian Resuscitation Outcomes Study (PAROS)



Survived to discharge (EMS Treated)

Survived to discharge (Shockable rhythm)

Survived to discharge (Shockable rhythm and bystander witnessed)

THANK YOU